Snell & Wilmer

15 Wast South Temple, Suite 1200 Gateway Tower West Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801) 257-1900 Fact (801) 257-1800

Amy F. Sorence (801) 257-1907

FALE LANG CITY, UTAH

PHOENIOL ARTZONA

TUCKON, ARLEONA

ETVINE CALIFORNIA

DENVEN, COLONADO

LAS VIICAS, NIEVADA

May 13, 2004

VIA FACSIMILE AND MAIL

Brent O. Hatch HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

VIA FACSIMILE AND MAIL

Mark J. Heise BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP One International Place 100 SE Second Street, Suite 2800 Miami, Florida 33131

Re: SCO v. IBM

Dear Brent and Mark:

I am enclosing a copy of IBM's combined deposition notice in the above-entitled matter.

Cravath, Swaine & Moore has been retained to represent Messrs. McDonough, Rodgers, and Otis Wilson at deposition and will arrange for their attendance. Mr. Frasure has retained counsel and IBM will procure his presence at deposition through his counsel. IBM also has issued, or will issue, subpoenas for the deposition of Mr. Anderer, as well as for the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of BayStar Capital.

IBM also intends to issue subpocnas to Mr. Sean Wilson and Mr. Terpstra, who we understand are no longer employed by SCO. We understand that the remaining witnesses are currently employed by SCO, and expect that SCO will make them available for deposition. If our understanding is incorrect, please let us know as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

Amy F. Sorenson

AFS:kb Enclosure

cc: David Marriott

Chris Kao

Todd Shaughnessy

**29146**3. J

Shell & Wilmer is a mumber of LFY MINID. a boding association of independent law firms

....

SNELL & WILMER LLP Alan L. Sullivan (3152) Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651) 15 West South Temple, #1200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004 Telephone: (801) 257-1900 Pacsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice) David R. Marriott (7572) Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,

Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

V

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff

DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIM-PLAINTIFF IBM'S NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITIONS

Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimbali Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rules 26, 30, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, counsel for defendant/counterclaim-plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") will take the following depositions upon oral examination at the dates and times specified:

[[NYLIT-2274034v1-3914W-05/13/04-11:42 a]]

- 1. Philip Langer, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 1, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 2. Sean Wilson, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 1, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 3. Ryan Tibbitts, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 3, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 4. Greg Pettit, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South
  Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 3, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;;
- 5. David Frasure, Wilson, North Carolina, location to be determined, June 8, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 6 Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of BayStar Capital, Legalink San Francisco, 601 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California, June 8, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m. (on the topics identified in the subpoena enclosed herewith);
- 7. David Rodgers, San Jose, California, location to be determined, June 10, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 8. Otis Wilson, Greensboro, North Carolina, location to be determined, June 10, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 9. Mike Anderer, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 15, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 10. Richard McDonough, Savannah, Georgia, located to be determined, June 15, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 11. Michael Davidson, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 17, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 12. Ralph Yarro, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 17, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;

2

[NYLTT2274054V1:3914W-05/13/04-11:42 a]]

- Jay Petersen, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South
   Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 22, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- Greg Anderson, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South
   Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 22, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 15. Jeff Hunsaker, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 24, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 16. John Terpstra, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 29, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 17. Reg Broughton, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, June 29, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 18. Larry Gasparo, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 1, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 19. Wolf Bauer, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 1, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 20. Bob Bench, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 6, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 21. Blake Stowell, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 8, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 22. Chris Sontag, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 13, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.;
- 23. Darl McBride, at Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Gateway Tower West, 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 15, 2004, beginning at 9:00 a.m.

The depositions will be taken pursuant to Rules 26, 30 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will be recorded by stenographic and videotape means, and will continue from day to day until completed.

DATED this 13th day of May, 2004.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Afan L. Sullivan Todd M. Shaughnessy Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP Evan R. Chesler David R. Maniott

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

#### Of counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION Donald J. Rosenberg Alec S. Berman 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604 (914) 642-3000

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation

4

[NYLT:2274034v1-3914W:08/13/04-11:42 a]]

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 13 day of May, 2004, a true and correct copy of the

foregoing was hand delivered to the following:

Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

and was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Stephen N. Zack
Mark J. Heiso
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33131

Kevin P. McBride 1299 Ocean Avenue, Suite 900 Santa Monica, California 90401

299575.1

[[NYLIT:2D4034/1:3914W:05/15/04-11:42 a]]

5

AD BE (Rev. 1/84) Shippens in a Old Core

# Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

The SCO Group, Inc.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

٧.

CASE NUMBER': 2:03CV-0294 Diebid of Utah

International	Rughese	Machines	Comordion
		144	COLUDIATION

To: Beyster Capital, by and through the person(s) most femiliar with the "Topics for 30(b)(6) Deposition" annexed hereto. 80 E. Sir Francis Drake, Suits 28 Larkspur, CA 94939

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case. PLACE OF TESTIMONY **COURTROOM** DATE AND TIME YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case. PLACE OF DEPOSITION DATE AND TIME June 8, 2004 Legalink San Francisco 801 Van Nees Avenue, Suite 2052 9:00 a.m. San Francisco, CA 94102 ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date and time specified below (list documents or objects): PLACE DATE AND TIME YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below. FRIDANCE. DATE AND TIME Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoensed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6). SOURCE CONTINUE AND TITLE (MOICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLANTIFF OR DISPENDANT) Informational Business Machines Corporation May 10, 2004 Attorney for G OFFICER'S HAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE HEARING David Merriott, Cravath, Swalne & Moore LLP, Worldwide Plaza, 825 Eighth Avenue, New York, NY 10019 (212) 474-1000

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Chill Procedure, Parts C & D on Reverse)

f if action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE				
	DATE	PLACE	MAGE	
		Ì		
		<u> </u>	<del></del>	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)			MANNER OF REPLICE	
BENYED BY (PROIT NAME)		TITLE		
			_	
DECLARATION OF SERVER				
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.				
Executed on				
DATE CONATURE OF BERVER				
	•	ADDRESS OF S	ENVER	

#### Rule 46, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D

#### (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subposes shall take responsible slope to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subposes. The court on behalf of which the subposes was issued etail enforce this duly and impose upon the party or allottey in breach of this duty an appropriate aenolics, which may include, but is not limited to, lost comings and a ressouble efformatic fee.
- (2) (A) A person commended to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated bracks, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of prefusion or inspection unless commended to appear for deposition, hearing or irle).
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commended to produce and paints inspection and copying may, within 14 days after sensos of the subposes or before the time expection for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or addressy designated in the subposes written objection to inspection of copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the pressions. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoors shall not be entitled to import and copy the materials or import the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoors was housed. If objection has been made, the party menting the subpourse war issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoons may, upon nelice to the person commended to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant superus resulting from the inspection and copying commended.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subposma was instead shall quasit or modify the subposms if it
  - (i) tale to allow reasonable three for compliance;
  - (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly states the business in parace, except that, subject to its provisions of clause (c)(5)(5)(6) of the rule, such a porson may in order to attend that he commended to fravel from any such place within the state in which the trial is haid, or

- (III) requires disclosure of privileged or other protosted matter and no exception or waiver applice, or (iv) subject a person to undue burden.

#### (B) If a subnounce

(1) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial

(II) requires discionure of an unretained exports opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the exports study

occurrences in degune and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or an officer of a party in those substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the sourt may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subposine, quest or modify the subposine or, if the party in whose bettell the subposine is issued shows a substantial made for the testimony or material that compute the offences must willnow turnishe and partially and possesses the substantial and for the testimony or material that compute the time nature to whom the automate. hardelle and assures that the person to whom the subposing is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon apositied conditions.

### (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPORNAL

- (1) A person responding to a subposed to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual source of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the outogarles in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subposes is withhold on a claim that it is phyliaged or subject to protection as trial preparation majories, the chaim shall be made approved and shall be made approved and shall be approved by a description of the native of the documents. communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.